REPORT FROM THE WORKGROUP TO STUDY OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH PREQUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS ON PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Chapter 625 of the Laws of 2014, the General Assembly mandated that the Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation ("DLLR") establish a workgroup to:

- (1) Analyze the potential effects of the public works contractor occupational safety and health prequalification requirements proposed in Senate Bill 774 and House Bill 951 of 2014, as the bills were originally introduced;
- (2) Study the effectiveness of public works contractor occupational safety and health prequalification requirements that exist in other jurisdictions in the United States;
- (3) Study the requirements and practices currently used by units in the State to evaluate public work bids and offers to ensure contractor adherence to safety standards; and
- (4) Make recommendations regarding the establishment of public works contractor occupational safety and health prequalification requirements in the State.

DLLR invited representatives from each organization listed in Chapter 625 Section 1 (b) to participate in the workgroup. The letter of invitation is included in Appendix B. In addition to the initial letter of invitation, each organization was invited by phone and by email to participate in the workgroup. The resulting members that formed the workgroup and the DLLR staff supporting the workgroup are listed in Appendix A. The workgroup convened on five (5) occasions on July 9, 2014, August 6, 2014, September 3, 2014, October 15, 2014 and November 5, 2014 at the DLLR offices located at 1100 North Eutaw Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201. The workgroup divided into subcommittees in order to perform a more in-depth examination of

some of the following issues raised by Chapter 625. A list of Subgroup members can be found in Appendix C.

II. ISSUES TO BE CONSIDERED PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 625

A. Analysis of SB 774 and HB 951

As originally proposed, SB 774 and HB 951 would have required that DLLR establish a prequalification list comprised of contractors who had completed a safety questionnaire and provided certain documentation demonstrating that the contractors met a minimum safety rating. Contractors who were not on the prequalification list would have been prohibited from bidding on a public work contract and public bodies would have been precluded from awarding a public work contract to a bidder or offeror who was not on the prequalification list.

While examining the language of SB 744 and HB 951 the workgroup agreed that the prequalification requirements outlined in the proposed legislation would not adequately address the issue of worker safety and health on public projects as written. Subgroup 1 (see Appendix C) was tasked with conducting a more in-depth analysis of this issue. Two main concerns arose from the subgroup's discussion. First, the workgroup determined that not allowing contractors with poor safety records to bid on public projects simply shifted the hazard of such contractors to private projects and provided no intervention to help improve safety practices on the job. Second, the workgroup did not want safety and health prequalification to be a "check the box" process where a procurement agent would only be looking for a completed and signed form before granting qualified status to a contractor. Instead the workgroup agreed addressing safety concerns should be handled on the project site by the prime contractor or project manager. Because each project and contractor is unique, no universal measure of safety compliance can be applied in a meaningful way. With a project specific focus, project managers and prime

contractors can rate the safety performance of contractors based on the anticipated safety needs of the project.

B. Occupational Safety and Health Pregualification Requirements in Other Jurisdictions

Subgroup 2 (see Appendix C) examined the issue of occupational safety and health prequalification in other jurisdictions in the United States. The subcommittee was not able to find another State or municipality with a global occupational safety and health prequalification requirement for public work projects. Few other jurisdictions have contractor occupational safety and health prequalification requirements as a prerequisite to bidding on a public work project. Among those with such prequalification requirements studied by the workgroup are North Carolina's Department of Transportation, the Knoxville Utility Board, and the Los Angeles Unified School District.

In North Carolina contractors must be prequalified and placed on the certified vendor list before they are eligible to bid on state work. The prequalification process includes an occupational safety and health section. Within the safety section, contractors receive a safety rating index based primarily on lagging indicator data. A marginal safety rating index may result in a safety audit performed by the State and an unsatisfactory safety rating index will prohibit the contractor from prequalification. Please refer to Appendix D for a copy of the safety rating index worksheets from the North Carolina prequalification application.

For the Los Angeles Unified School District and the Knoxville Utility Board, contractors must complete a section on OSHA citation history and a section on safety policies and procedures (see Appendices E and F). While the OSHA citation history provides lagging indicator information, the safety policies and procedures section uses leading indicators to provide credit for steps taken

by the contractor to promote a safe working environment. The workgroup recognizes the importance of leading indicator data when reviewing a contractor for prequalification. Lagging indicators only represent incidents that were reported. Some contractors with excellent safety practices may suffer an accident or fatality regardless of the preventative measures taken, whereas a contractor with very unsafe practices may have avoided properly reporting an incident or may not have experienced an incident yet, but is likely to in the future.

Additionally, some private entities such as the Metropolitan Indianapolis Coalition for Construction Safety ("MICCS") and URS were a source of helpful information. MICCS is a non-profit organization focused on safety. MICCS certifies contractors on behalf of public and private construction owners in Indiana and the Midwest. URS is a large engineering and construction consulting firm that, among other things, supplies safety, health and accident prevention information to construction clients.

Prequalification is required by many general contractors before work is awarded to subcontractors. While some businesses rely on third party certifications, large firms such as Clark Construction and Whiting and Turner have implemented their own prequalification processes for subcontractors seeking to bid on any of their projects. Members of the workgroup recognize the direction of the private sector to require subcontractors prequalify for available work.

C. <u>Current Practices Used By Units In State Government to Evaluate Bids to Ensure</u> Contractor Adherence to Safety Standards

Subgroup 3 (see Appendix C) was unable to find examples of Maryland State units evaluating contractor adherence to safety standards when awarding projects.

D. <u>Recommendations Regarding Occupational Safety and Health Prequalification Requirements</u>

The workgroup recommends the legislature pass a bill that requires the following components to improve contractor safety on public projects and require contractors with poor safety performance to adopt additional safety procedures. The legislation should require contractors to have an occupational safety and health plan before submitting bids for public work, and require winning contractors to submit an occupational safety and health score that will be used to prescribe additional safety measures if necessary. A sample draft bill and draft questionnaire can be found in Appendix G and H.

Pre- Award Requirements:

The contractor prequalification workgroup recommends that the Maryland General Assembly pass a statute that states all contractors, sub-contractors, bidding or offering on publically funded projects to have a management plan that addresses the recognition and avoidance of hazards that could lead to injury, illness, and property damage. This management plan would be called a "Contractor Safety Plan". The Contractor Safety Plan would be in writing and would generally explain how the contractor provides for: active commitment and leadership; hazard anticipation, identification and control; and hazard avoidance, communication and training. The plan would have to include, at a minimum, the following elements:

- 1. A sworn statement of the contractor's commitment to safety on the project, including a provision for designating a representative responsible for safety on the project;
- 2. The contractor's methods for identifying, assessing and documenting potential occupational safety and health hazards on the project;
- 3. The contractor's methods for preventing and controlling occupational safety and health hazards on the project;
- 4. The contractor's methods for communicating information to and training employees on issues related to occupational safety and health hazards on the project;
- 5. The contractor's methods for employee participation in identifying and resolving safety and health issues on the project; and

6. The contractor's methods for continuous evaluation and assessment of the occupational safety and health hazards on the project and provision for modification of the safety plan based on the continuous evaluation and assessment.

The contractor would not be required to submit a copy of the plan to the public body, however, the contractor would have to attest to the public body that the contractor had a written plan meeting the requirements and that the elements of the plan would be implemented on the project. Upon request, the contractor occupational safety and health plan would be subject to inspection by the public body or the Commissioner of Labor and Industry.

Post Award Requirements:

For contracts over \$100,000 the winning contractor and their sub-contractors would also submit safety and health performance indicator data. All indicator information would be reported using a safety questionnaire developed by the Commissioner of Labor and Industry. The questionnaire would examine the contractor's prior safety performance including lagging indicators, such as the number of willful, serious, and repeat OSHA citations, and leading indicators, such as the implementation of hazard specific safety training for workers and expressions of commitment of job site safety from management. The completed questionnaire would be scored based on the contractor's or sub-contractor's responses. Depending upon the score on the questionnaire, the contractor or subcontractor may have to implement additional safety measures including, but not limited to, weekly "toolbox talks" or if the score was low, a fulltime accredited on-site safety professional. The subgroup drafted a sample questionnaire based on the Los Angeles Unified School District. A final questionnaire was developed and includes contributions from the entire workgroup (see Appendix H).

The project manager would be responsible for confirming what additional safety measures, if any, need to be implemented by the prime contractor while performing work under the contract as a result of the safety score received on the proposed questionnaire. Prime contractors would in turn apply the same safety rating system to any subcontractors working on the contract. Both the rubric and safety score calculation formula would be available to the public and would be used by prospective bidders to anticipate safety costs when preparing a bid for public work.

Enforcement:

No consensus was reached by the workgroup on the issue of enforcement. One proposed enforcement mechanism is included in the draft legislation (Appendix G). Under the proposed enforcement section DLLR would investigate complaints regarding the implementation of any of the required safety measures. In addition, DLLR would verify compliance with the required safety measures as part of existing programmed inspections. Contractors found to be violating their safety commitments would be fined, and upon a second violation fined a greater amount. Contractors who act knowingly or with reckless disregard for the law could potentially be recommended for debarment. The project manager and prime contractor would be responsible for overseeing the day-to-day compliance of contractors working on the contract. At least one member of the workgroup strongly opposed the enforcement provisions proposed in Section 17-805 and 17-806 of the draft legislation found in Appendix G. However, all members agreed neither procurement officials nor third party private entities should be responsible for enforcement.

III.CONCLUSION

The work group agreed that safety on public projects should be a priority of the Maryland General Assembly. To promote safe practices on public projects the work group recommends passing legislation that goes beyond a simple paper process to disqualify poor performing contractors. The legislation should address implementing safety procedures in a site specific way

and encourage improvement among those contractors with poor safety records. The work group agreed every contractor on a public project should at least be required to have a safety plan and for contracts over \$100,000 be rated on their safety culture and performance. Such low thresholds were selected because a project value is not proportional to safety risks of the project. The work group holds that each worker performing a task on a public work should have the confidence that quality safety procedures are in place on the job site.

APPENDIX A—WORKGROUP MEMBERS

Members of the workgroup included:

<u>Name</u> <u>Representing</u>

Adele Abrams American Society of safety Engineers

Jeffrey Ambrose AGC Maryland

Carey Dove Alliance for Construction Excellence

Donna Edwards Maryland and D.C, AFL-CIO

Jeffrey Guido CHOICE

Champe McCulloch AGC of Maryland Steve Marciszewski Maryland SHA

Andrea Mansfield Maryland Association of Counties
Jeanne Lee Alliance for Construction Excellence
Elizabeth Moss Maryland Association of Counties

Clayton Sinyai Center for Construction Research and Training

Frank Trujillo Association of Building Contractors
Jack Wilson NCS Incorporated (Electrical)

Keith Wrightson Public Citizen

DLLR staff members included:

J. Ronald DeJuliis Commissioner of Labor and Industry

Craig Lowry Deputy Commissioner of Labor and Industry

Kim Beard Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner Sarah Blusiewicz Policy Director, Labor and Industry

Sarah Harlan Assistant Attorney General

Melissa Myer Special Projects Coordinator, Labor and Industry

Mischelle Vanreusel Program Manager, MOSH

APPENDIX B – LETTER OF INVITATION TO WORKGROUP MEMBERS



LABOR AND INDUSTRY 1100 N. EUTAW STREET, ROOM 600 BALTIMORE, MD 21201

CRAIG DONALDSON LOWRY, CSP DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

E-mail: Craig.Lowry@Maryland.gov

May 13, 2014

Maryland Associated General Contractors 1301 York Rd., Lutherville MD 21093

A Request for a Representative:

To whom it may concern,

The Maryland General Assembly considered legislation during the 2014 Session that would require contractor pre-qualification for occupational safety and health prior to performing work on Maryland Public Works. Under House Bill 951 and Senate Bill 774 (2014) that passed,—the Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation is required to convene a workgroup to study and make recommendations regarding public works contractor occupational safety and health prequalification requirements — a workgroup shall be assembled with the inclusion of a representative from your organization.

It is the final goal of this workgroup to report to the General Assembly by December 31, 2014 its findings and recommendations regarding the establishment of public work contractor occupational safety and health prequalification requirements in Maryland. As I am sure you realize this is a very tight time frame. We are asking your organization to select a representative who will best meet this goal.

As a preliminary measure, five meetings have been scheduled throughout the remainder of this year. Please ensure that the representative chosen will be in a position to competently discuss issues relative to occupational safety and health indicators and be capable of attending each of the working sessions.

The inaugural meeting of the impending workgroup will take place on July 9, 2014 at 3:00pm at 1100 N. Eutaw Street, Baltimore MD 21201, Room 600. At this same location, four additional meetings are scheduled from 3:00pm to 6:00pm on the following dates:

August 6, 2014 September 3, 2014 October 1, 2014 November 5, 2014 Parking will be provided.

Please forward the name, title, phone number, e-mail and mailing address of the person who will represent your organization within the workgroup.

PHONE 410.767.2929 FAX: 410.767.2986 www.dllr.maryland.gov/labor

We ask that this request be fulfilled on or before **June 1, 2014**, by email to <u>siobahn.peay@maryland.gov</u> cc: <u>craig.lowry@maryland.gov</u> or via postal services to:

1100 N Eutaw St. Room 606 Baltimore, Maryland 21201 ATTN: Siobahn Peay

For additional information on the Bills please visit http://mgaleg.maryland.gov/

If your representative has any preliminary information that you would like to share or include in the initial package please forward that information to the above address. We look forward to having you join with other community partners as we take a closer look at safety and health prequalification requirements of public works contractors.

Respectfully,

Craig Donaldson Lowry, CSP
Deputy Commissioner
Maryland Division of Labor and Industry

APPENDIX C-MEMBERS OF WORKGROUP

Subgroup Members:

Group 1: Analyze the potential effects of the Public Works Contractor Occupational Safety and Health Prequalification Requirements proposed in Senate Bill 774 and House Bill 951 of 2014, as the bills were originally introduced.

Group 1 Members:
Sarah Blusiewicz, DLI - Coordinator
Francisco Trujillo, ABC - Chairperson
Jeff D'Ambrose, AGC Maryland
Jeanne Lee for Carey Dove, Alliance for Construction Excellence

Group 2: Study the effectiveness of Public Works Contractor Occupational Safety and Health Prequalification Requirements that exist in other jurisdictions in the United States.

Group 2 Members:
Melissa Myer, DLI - Coordinator
Adele Abrams, ASSE - Chairperson
Keith Wrightson, Public Citizen
Clayton Sinyai, The Center for Construction Research Training

Group 3: Study the requirements and practices currently used by units in the State to evaluate public works bids and offers to ensure contractor adherence to safety standards.

Group 3 Members:

Mischelle Vanreusel, MOSH - Coordinator Champe McCulloch, AGC Maryland - Chairperson Michael Cavanaugh, Department of General Services Colleen Haynes, Department of General Services Steve Manciszewski, SHA Maryland Elizabeth Moss, MACO Donna Edwards, Maryland State and DC AFL-CIO

APPENDIX D – NOR TH CAROLINA

North Carolina	Depa	artment of Transportation
Safet	v Ind	lex Rating Form
OF THAMS	,	77,319,1118,4,11,111
Date:		
FIRM NAME:		Safety Index
ADDRESS:		Official Use Only
ADDICES.		Canada Can Cany
		1 pri = 2 1 1
TELEPHONE NUMBER: ()		
FAX NUMBER: ()		
4		
Requirements include provisions for the evaluation of a new to >100) is considered satisfactory. The Carolina Building toward your final score. In addition, a safety index of D (safety audit or inspection by either the North Carolina Defengineer's Office or the Occupational Safety and Health D index of U (< 59) is considered unsatisfactory and will profer existing firms. These companies will not be approved	Star Pro (60 to 69 partment ivision o phibit pre I for pre	ogram membership can result in receiving extra credit) may be considered marginal and/or may result in a rof Transportation's Construction Unit, Area Resident f the North Carolina Department of Labor. Any safety equalification or approval of new firms and/or renewal qualification or subcontractor approval until they can
provide adequate evidence that safety deficiencies have bee	n correct	ted.
Aug. 355 C. 2 Car 370 S. 2 C	ndex Rat	ing
Total Safety Profile Score		Index
≥100	-	A+
90-99	=	A
80-89 70-79		B C
60-69	=	D
≤59	=	U (Unsatisfactory)
When any existing prequalified bidder or approved subco- firm will be subject to removal from the Department's List the Contractor's safety index becomes unsatisfactory, it wis should not be removed from the prequalified bidders' and/or the Contractor's safety records and show cause response, of (1) be removed from the list of prequalified bidders and/or two years, (3) perform an in-depth safety inspection of their the deficiency, or (5) any combination of the previous.	of Prequall be requor approvene of the rapprovene of the rapproven	alified Bidders and/or Approved Subcontractors. Once uired to show cause in writing as to why the company wed subcontractors' lists. After the Department review of following actions may be taken: The Contractor may be subcontractors, (2) be placed on probation for up to
The action taken will depend on the severity and nature of	the safet	violations. Any removal from the list of premalifier
bidders and or approved subcontractors will be for a m		
satisfactorily demonstrate that all safety deficiencies have		
the list of prequalified bidders and/or approved subcontract		
The safety index rating procedures have been designed to n the size of the company.	ninimize	any impact on the final safety index rating related to

Safety Index Rating: Prequalification Expires: Approved By:

Date:

Part 1: Contractor's Safety Philos	ophy Profile (Possible 5 Points)	
best describes your company's present by	usiness approach towards safety. ective, answers that are judged to	all safety profile. Please provide the answer that Any additional responses may be attached as provide a positive safety profile will result in an
1. Do you currently have a written safe	ty program in full force and effec	tt? Yes No
If so, please attach a copy of the Title sh	neet	
2. Do you have a designated safety offi	icer? Yes No	
Full Time Part Time		
3. Does your company provide drug/al-	cohol screening? Yes ?	No.
Please check the type of drug/alcohol te	sting performed:	
Random CDL Complaint	Post A Other	ceident
Please check the positions below that re	ceive drug/alcohol testing:	
☐ Laborers ☐ Field Supervisors	Operat Others	
4. Are regular safety meetings held on	project sites? 🔲 Yes 🗎 No	
List frequency		
Please check the positions that are requi	red to attend on-site safety meeting	ngs:
☐ Laborers	☐ Operat	tors
Field Supervisors	Others	f
5. Are new employees (permanent or to	emporary) provided with safety o	rientation? Yes No
6. Please check the following personal	safety equipment that your firm r	requires employees to use on each project site:
☐ Hard Hats ☐ Steel Toed Shoes	Safety Vests Fall Protection	☐ Eye Protection* ☐ Hearing Protection*
7. Does your company provide safety t	raining for field personnel?	Yes No
Please check if the following training is	provided and list the general freq	quency that training for these items is provided:
☐ Trench Safety	☐ Flagger	
☐ Equipment Operation ☐ Work Zone Safety	☐ Fall Prot ☐ Personal	Safety Equipment
Is this training by \[\] Internal Trainer Is safety training documentation availab No	le? Yes Outside	Provider
8. Does your company perform schedu Yes No List frequency:		on equipment and safety devices?
* Consistent with the hazards for that site		Official Use Only Score:
I and the second		

escribe your c	are questions to be used to determine your company's safe company's present business operating practices regarding olina Department of Transportation will complete all scor	safety. Any additional responses may be atta	ched as needed
	ur firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR) for the dead by contacting your firm's Workers' Compensation		Official Use Only
Year:	Rate:		
Year	Rate:		
Year	Rate:		
Average thre	ee year rate:		Score:
attach an exp box below. This firm do Using the	kers' Compensation insurance carrier does not have a planation. If your firm does not have Workers' Compensation Insurance he formula below, determine your Incidence Rate for ecent years. This information can be found on your fintain OSHA 200/300 logs, the Incident Rate must st	mpensation Insurance, please check the Total Lost Workday Cases for the firm's OSHA 200/300 logs. If your firm	
Year:	Number of injuries and illnesses that resulted in lost work days or days of restricted activity (This is <u>not</u> the number of lost work days, only the number of incidents):	Total number of hours worked by all employees during the calendar year: Note: If Sole Proprietor, list own Hrs.	
-			d l
restricted wo	ate for total lost workdays = (Number of accidents the ork activity) x 200,000 ÷ (Total hours worked by all mpany's North American Industry Classification System 23730 (A short list of NAICS codes are listed on Fithe last two years, has your company received any ceat" violation(s) in any state where your company op a copy of each citation.	employees during the Calendar year.) stem Code (NAICS) if Part 3 of the Safety Index) sitations (open or closed) for OSHA	
efined 'Repe			
efined 'Repe	and a		Score:
efined 'Repe If so, attach, 4. Within efined 'Willi If so, attach; 5. For any	the last two years, has your company received <u>any</u> of ful" violation(s) in any state where your company of a copy of each citation.	perates?	Score:
efined 'Repe If so, attach, 4. Within efined 'Willi If so, attach; 5. For any Has your con Were any cit	the last two years, has your company received <u>any</u> c ful" violation(s) in any state where your company op a copy of each citation. v state where your company operates:	the last five years?	-

Part 2 continued: Contractor's Safety	Operatir	ig Prof	ile (con	t.)			
6. Within the last three years has your con NCDOT and/or any other state Department of any of the safety emphasis areas below? If so, please attach a detailed list of each occ	f Transpor						
Excavating, Trenching, or Shoring: Fall Protection: Crane Safety: Equipment Safety Devices (backup alarms, e Workzone Traffic Control:	tc.):	Yes _ Yes _ Yes _ Yes _ Yes _	No No No No No				
							Score:
Part 3: Standard Industry Classification For reference purposes to assist with answeri Construction will use 2373.				st firms	nvolved	with Highway an	d Street
2361: General Building Contractor	s – resider	itial					
2362: General Builders – nonreside							
23711: Water and Sewer Line Contr	actors						
2373: Highway and Street Constru	ction (Airr	orts, his	ghways,	Streets &	Sidewa	ılks)	
2379: Heavy Construction, Except Highway and Street (Bridges, Tunnels, Water & Sewer)							
 23821: Electrical Contractors 							
 23822: Plumbing, Heating & Air Co 	onditioning	2					
 23832: Painting (includes bridge painting) 	inting and	paveme	nt marki	ng)			
If your company performs multiple class NAICS Code 2373.	ifications l	isted ab	ove alon	g with I	lighway	and Street constru	iction, use
For additional NAICS codes, contact OS (Revised 6/17/2009)	HA of the	U.S.D	epartme	nt of Lab	or or vis	it their website.	
Official Use Only							
Contractor's Safety Index							
Part 1: Contractor's Safety Philosophy Proj	ile Score:					(Maximum of 5 p	oints)
Part 2: Contractor's Safety Operating Profi	le Score:					(Maximum of 10,	5 points)
Contractor's Total Safety Profile Score:						(Maximum of 11	0 poirus)
Contractor's Safety Index:	A + ≥100	A 90-99	B 80-89	C 70-79	D 60-69	Unsatisfacto ≤59	ry.

APPENDIX E LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRIC

27	Does Applicant intend to request the dispatch of apprentices to Applicant's company for use on any public work project for which Applicant is awarded a contract by the District? If "yes", on a separate signed sheet of paper provide the name, address, telephone number and craft of each apprenticeship program (approved by the California Apprenticeship Council) from whom Applicant intends to request the dispatch of apprentices, and state whether each apprenticeship program has graduated apprentices in each of the preceding five years.	Yes	No
28.	Have any individuals employed by Applicant completed a State-approved apprenticeship training program operated by Applicant in the past three (3) years? If "yes", on a separate signed sheet of paper:	Yes	No
	(a) Identify each craft in which Applicant provided State-approved apprenticeship training in the past three (3) years.		
	(b) State the year(s) in which each such apprenticeship program was approved, and attach evidence of the most recent California Apprenticeship Council approval(s) of Applicant's apprenticeship program(s).		
	(c) State the number of individuals who were employed by Applicant as apprentices at any time during the past three (3) years in each apprenticeship training program, and the number of persons who, during the past three (3) years, completed apprenticeships in each craft while employed by Applicant.	-	
29	On how many public works projects in the past five (5) years has Applicant been found by the Department of Industrial Relations to have violated any provision of the California apprenticeship laws or regulations, or the laws pertaining to the use of apprentices on public works?	# of pro	ojects:
	If none, answer "0". If any, attach a separate signed page describing the nature of each violation, identifying the name of the project, the date of its completion, the public agency for which it was constructed, and the amount of the penalty assessed, and attach copies of the Department of Industrial Relations' final decision(s).		

PART VI: CONTRACTOR SAFETY PREQUALIFICATION

This Contractor Safety Prequalification Part evaluates Applicant's overall safety performance and determines whether Applicant has an acceptable safety record. Once prequalified, Applicant must ensure that it and <u>all tiers</u> of its subcontractors meet all of LAUSD's Safety Prequalification requirements. Failure by Applicant or any of its subcontractors to meet these criteria at all times may be grounds for Applicant's disqualification. (NOTE: All Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing ("MEP") subcontractors holding C-4, C-7, C-10, C-16, C-20, C-34, C-36, C-38, C-42, C-43, and/or C-46 license classifications must obtain Subcontractor Prequalification, which includes Safety Prequalification, directly from LAUSD. All other subcontractors do not need to formally obtain Safety Prequalification status from LAUSD before bidding or performing work on LAUSD construction contracts. It is Applicant's responsibility to ensure that it and each of its subcontractors of every tier meet all of LAUSD's Safety Prequalification requirements.)

The information required in this questionnaire must include all construction work undertaken nationwide by the Applicant and any partnership, joint venture, or corporation that any principal of the Applicant participated in as a principal or owner for the last three (3) calendar years and the current calendar year prior to the date of submittal. Separate information shall be submitted for each particular partner or joint venture. The Applicant may be requested to submit additional information or an explanation of data for evaluation of their safety record. Failure to provide all information listed below could result in exclusion from the bid process.

SECTION A.

Workers Compensation insurance coverage covering all employees and operations of Applicant is required at all times. Applicant may be disqualified if either its (a) current EMR, or (b) average EMR for the most recent three-year period, is above 1.00, in which case, it must submit all of the following to LAUSD:

Page 16 of 21

- a. Applicant's written analysis of why the EMR is above 1.00;
- b. Worker's Compensation Loss Runs for the past three (3) years;
- c. Copy of Applicant's Illness and Injury Prevention Program ("IIPP") and Code of Safe Practices;
- d. Written description of actions currently being taken by Applicant to reduce employee injuries, illnesses and Workers' Compensation losses; and
- e. A list of on-site safety representatives and proof of their OSHA 10-hour training.

The District will determine, based on the information submitted, whether Applicant has satisfied the requirements of Section A even if it has a current or three-year average EMR above 1.00.

Workers Compensation Insurance - Experience Modification Rate (EMR)

l.	periods. If Applican	nt does not have an int	roker/carrier Applicant's in rastate rating, provide its in	nterstate EMRs.	Then, con	nplete the	ing
	following data and c	Policy Year	oox for interstate or intrasta Modification Rate	Rating Type	Experie	nce	
	Current EMR		Wodification Rate	[] Intrastate			
	1 year ago			[] Interstate			
	2 years ago						
	3 years ago						
	By initialing here, I	certify that Applicant	does not have an EMR*.		_		
	* Applicant must sub EMR.	bmit a copy of your fire	m's Loss Runs for the last t	three (3) years if	your firm	does not hav	ve an
	Is Applicant's EMR	for the most recent thr	ee-year period an average	of 1.00 or less?	[]Yes	[] No	
	Is Applicant self-ins	ured for Workers Com	pensation Claims?		[]Yes*	[] No	
	3 · · · · ·	*	test Annual Report to the S Certificate of Self-Insurar		a Dept. of	Industrial	
2.	Anniversary Rating l	Date:	Rating Burea	u File#			
3.	Name of Applicant's	Workers' Compensat	ion carrier		-		

SECTION B.

Applicant will be evaluated on OSHA Incident Rates compared to the most current data provided by the Annual Survey of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses conducted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor ("BLS"). Applicant may be disqualified if its average total recordable injury/illness rate or average lost work rate for the most recent three-year period exceed the applicable statistical standards for its business category.

If Applicant's average total recordable injury/illness rate or average lost work rate for the most recent three-year period exceed the applicable statistical standards for its business category, it must submit all of the following to LAUSD:

- a. Applicant's written analysis of why its Incidence Rate is higher than the BLS Incidence Rates;
- b. Copy of Applicant's complete OSHA 300 Log for each of the past three (3) years. Applicants with ten (10) or fewer employees at all times during the calendar year are not required to maintain the OSHA 300 Log, but must provide copies of its Workers' Compensation Insurance Loss Runs for the past three (3) years;
- c. Copy of Applicant's Illness and Injury Prevention Program ("IIPP") and Code of Safe Practices;
- d. Written description of actions currently being taken by Applicant to reduce employee injuries and illnesses; and
- e. A list of on-site safety representatives and proof of their OSHA 10-hour training.

Page 17 of 21

LAUSD will determine, based on the information submitted, whether Applicant has satisfied the requirements of Section B even though it has an Incidence Rate above the BLS Incidence Rates.

OSHA Recordable Incidence Rates

To answer the following questions, utilize data obtained from Applicant's OSHA 300 "Log and Summary of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses," or Workers' Compensation Loss Run (if your company has ten (10) or fewer employees).

ALL FIRMS HAVE DATA TO REPORT, AND MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION!

1.	<u>Industry Comparison Information.</u> Enter your NAICS Code below:	
	North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code	

What is Applicant's company wide OSHA Total Case Incidence Rate* (recordable cases) for the last three (3) years?

3. What is Applicant's company-wide Lost Workday Case Incidence Rate** (recordable cases with lost workdays or restricted duty) for the last three (3) years?

No.	

4. What is Applicant's company wide number of No Lost Workday Case Incidence Rate*** (recordable cases without lost workdays) for the last three (3) years?

Year	# of Cases	Co. Hours****	Rate

Information to aid in completing Section B, #2, 3 and 4:

* OSHA Total Case Incidence Rate =

Total # of Recordable Cases x 200,000 Company Man-hours

** Lost Workday Case Incidence Rate =

of Lost Workday Cases x 200,000 Company Man-hours

*** No Lost Workday Case Incidence Rate =

of No Lost Workday Cases x 200,000 Company Man-hours

**** Co. Hours = Hours worked by all employees on the Company payroll in the applicable calendar year.

- Additional information regarding this section can be found in the LAUSD Safety Resource Guide
- Do not use the number of lost workdays in these three (3) calculations.
- Rates are not a "%", nor should the number be similar to "0.00024".
- To verify your calculations for a given year, check your math as follows:
 Lost Workday Case Rate + No Lost Workday Case Rate = Total Case Incidence Rate

Page 18 of 21

SECTION C.

In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 4420.5, evaluation of Applicant shall include consideration of its OSHA record with respect to "serious and willful violations of Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code" issued during the past five (5) year period.

OSHA Citation (Violation) History

Has Applicant received any "serious", "willful", "repeat", or "failure to abate" OSHA violations (citations) within the past sixty (60) months, beginning immediately prior to submittal of this Questionnaire? This question <u>includes</u> such citations if they have been appealed or contested, but have not yet been resolved.

[] No If Applicant has answered "no" to having received any citations classified as "serious," "willful," "repeat" or "failure to abate" and such violations are found during the verification process the Applicant may not be prequalified. A waiting period may be imposed by LAUSD before the Applicant can reapply.

[] Yes If yes, list total number of citations (violations) by type per year in the table below. Submit copies of all citations and descriptions of abatement actions, your company Injury and Illness Prevention Program and Code of Safe Practices, and your OSHA 300 Log and Summaries and/or Workers' Compensation Loss Runs for each of the last three (3) years.

Year	Serious	Willful	Repeat	Failure to Abate	Total

SECTION D.

District Safety Policies and Procedures (16 Questions)

Applicant must respond "yes" or "no" to each question, and answers are assigned a weighted value. To satisfy the requirements under Section D, Applicant must:

- (1) Receive a score of at least 85 out of 100 points; and
- (2) Respond "Yes" to questions 1, 6, 7, 10, 15 and 16 (see Title 8, California Code of Regulations, Section 3203).

No.	Question	YES	NO	Points
1.	Injury and Illness Prevention Program. Does Applicant have an effective, written Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) in accordance with 8CCR \$1509 & \$3203? [LC §6401.7]. If yes, copy of the Program must be available at the jobsite.			21
2.	Does Applicant have a safety policy statement endorsed by top management? [LC §6401]			2
3.	Does Applicant's on-site safety representative have the authority and been allocated sufficient time to audit and enforce compliance with job site safety protocol? [LC §6401.7(a)(7)]			4
4.	Does Applicant have a disciplinary action program that includes provisions for acting on safety and health issues of its employees (and subcontractors, if applicable), and is the program enforced? [LC §6401.7(a)(6)]			4
5.	Is safety pre-planning included in project planning and/or progress meeting(s) in order to ensure that safety and loss control activities are integrated into the project work plan? [LAUSD OCIP Requirements – Safety Standards]			4

Page 19 of 21

6.	Does Applicant have a comprehensive Hazard Communication Program that (a) details locations for Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) and (b) contains provisions for multi-employer job sites? [8 CCR §5194]		6
7.	Does Applicant conduct ongoing job site safety and health inspections, and are the inspection records kept on file and available for review? [LC §6401.7(A)(2)] Is there written verification that job site safety and health violations have been reviewed and corrective action taken? [LC§6401.7(b) and (D)]		13
8.	Safety Reviews/Hazard Analysis. Are all critical (hazardous) job activities identified and Job Safety Analysis' (JSA, a.k.a. Job Hazard Analysis, or JHA) conducted by Applicant (and its subcontractors, if applicable)? [LC§6401.7(A)(5)]		2
9.	Are the procedures for critical (hazardous) job activities written and reviewed with all of Applicant's employees (including subcontractor employees)? [LC 6401.7(a)(5)]		4
10.	 Accident/Incident Investigation and Analysis. Does Applicant have a written accident/incident investigation procedure in which: (a) all accidents/incidents (including those of its subcontractors, if applicable) are investigated to determine their root cause, and (b) corrective action is taken by site supervision and management, and (c) written investigation and corrective action records are available for review? [8CCR §3203(a)(5) and (b)] 		6
11.	Are reports completed for "near miss" incidents that might have caused serious injury, property or equipment damage? [LC §6403(b)]		4
12.	Emergency Response. Does Applicant have a comprehensive written emergency response plan (i.e., fire, toxic spills, bomb threats, natural disasters, crowd and traffic control, and media relations) for job sites; and do all employees (including its subcontractor employees, if applicable) receive project-specific emergency response training? [8 CCR §3220 (a) and (e)]		4
13.	Substance Abuse Control Program. Does Applicant have a written Substance Abuse Program? [LC §6403]		2
14.	Do Applicant require its subcontractors of all tiers to have a/or comply with its Substance Abuse Program? [LC §6403]		2
15.	Employee Training. Does Applicant ensure that all employees (including subcontractor employees) are trained in accordance with its written training plan, and (a) are competent to perform the work required, and (b) that job tasks requiring specific training and/or certification are performed by employees having the appropriate training documentation and certificates, and the documentation is maintained and available for review? [LC §6401.7(c) and (d)]		11
16.	Is documentation on file and available for review to verify that training and safety meetings for Applicant (and its subcontractors, if applicable) have been completed? [LC §6401.7(c) and 8CCR §1509(e)]		11

APPENDIX F—KNOXVILLE UTILITY BOARD



KNOXVILLE UTILITIES BOARD CONTRACTOR PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

Contractor Pre-Qualification Statement

Section C; Company Health and Safety Information

Instructions to Contractor:

I. II.			and <u>all requested attachm</u> ble or does not apply, pleas		st be present for consideration. ${\sf N}/{\sf A}''$.	
					:	
Submi	tted By:					
			ompany Safety Officer?		es No; if no, provide the name	, telephone and
SIC Co	ode:		NAI	CS Code	:	
let	ter from yo	ur Comp. Carrie		IR is 1.0	rates (EMR) for the last three years or above, please explain why. If y	
2	Year 20 20 20	Rate	Policy Number		Carrier	
1	Policy Ann	iversary Date:	e □ Intrastate If Intrastate		list applicable states:	
1	Provide the	incidence number by using your O	ers and rates, including em SHA Form No. 300. Using	oloyee n the form	ttach OSHA 200/300 logs for last 3 umbers and hours worked, for the tulas provided calculate OSHA Inc submitted copies of your OSHA 30	entries in the
Revise	d 09.03.09	Contractor Pr	equalification Statement - S	ection C	Health and Safety Information	Page 1 of 5



KNOXVILLE UTILITIES BOARD CONTRACTOR PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

Categories	COMPLETE THE LAST TWO ENTRIES OF THIS TABLE. 20					
a. Fatalities	20	0	20)	20)
b. Number of cases involving days away from work, restrictions, or transfers (DART cases)						
c. Number of days away from work						
d. Number of non-fatal cases without days away from work, restrictions, or transfers						
Average number of employees			-			
Number of hours worked						
e. Total OSHA recordable cases (injury & illness)	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate
Number of recordable cases x 200,000 hrs. = Incidence Rate Number annual hours worked	7					
f. OSHA recordable cases that resulted in						
					1	1
DART cases Number DART (b) cases x 200,000 hrs. Number annual hours worked = Incidence Rate						
Number DART (b) cases x 200,000 hrs. = Incidence Bate				 	Yes [Yes [Yes [No No No
Number DART (b) cases x 200,000 hrs. Number annual hours worked Has your firm been cited by a regulatory agency, e. attach an explanation Do you have a new employee-training program? Do you plan to operate heavy equipment? List the employees in your organization who are reprogram:	sponsible f		ing/imple		Yes [Yes [Yes [Yes [Yes [No No No
Number DART (b) cases x 200,000 hrs. Number annual hours worked Has your firm been cited by a regulatory agency, e attach an explanation Do you have a new employee-training program? Do you plan to operate heavy equipment? List the employees in your organization who are reprogram:	sponsible f	or develop	ing/imple	ementing y	Yes [Yes [Yes [our corp	No No No

 $Revised~09.03.09 \qquad Contractor~Prequalification~Statement-Section~C~Health~and~Safety~Information\\$

Page 2 of 5



KNOXVILLE UTILITIES BOARD CONTRACTOR PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

Does your program include the fo	llowing (che	ck each appl	icable box):		
	Written Program	Training		Written Program	Training
Company safety policy/rules			Hot Work		
Confined Space Entry* (29 CFR 1910.146)			Decontamination Procedures		
Health and Safety Plan Requirements			Hazard Communication (29 CFR 1910.1200)? Toxic Substances		
Chemical and Physical Hazard Recognition			Electrical Safety/Lockout-Tagout (29 CFR 1910.147)		
Emergency Response Procedures			Safety Belts and Lifelines, Fall Protection* (29 CFR 1926 Subpart M)		
Injury Reporting			First Aid/CPR (29 CFR 1910.151) If so, how many employees		
Personal Protective Equipment (29 CFR 1910.132)			Drum Handling		
Non-injury Accident Reporting (near-miss)			Drilling Hazards		
Respiratory Protection (29 CFR 1910.134)/ Respiratory Fit Testing			Hearing Conservation (29 CFR 1910.95)		
Portable Fire Extinguisher (29 CFR 1910.157)			Compressed Gas Cylinders (29 CFR 1910 Subpart M)		
Railroad Roadway Worker Protection* (49 CFR 214)			Trenching/Excavation (29 CFR 1926 Subpart P)		
Bloodborne Pathogens (29 CFR 1910.1030)			If you provide Trenching/Excavation Safety training, do you have a Competent Person?		
Can you provide documentation of	of employee	raining, if re	equired?	Ye	s 🗌 No
. Does your company have OSHA I	HAZWOPER	trained emi	olovees (29 CFR 1910.120(e)	(3))? \(\sum \cdot \text{Y}\epsilon	s \square No



Revised 09.03.09

KNOXVILLE UTILITIES BOARD CONTRACTOR PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

12.	Do you have a medical surveillance program as required by 29 CFR 1910.120(f)?	☐ No
13.	Does your company have a written Alcohol and Substance Abuse Program? Yes	□No
	If yes, does it include the following?	
	a. 5-panel substance testing?	☐ No
	b. Pre-employment/pre-job assignment testing (within 30 days of pre-job assignment)? \square Yes	☐ No
	c. Post-accident drug and alcohol testing?	☐ No
	d. Reasonable suspicion drug and alcohol testing?	☐ No
14.	Do you hold periodic safety meetings for your employees? Yes	□No
	Daily Weekly Bi-weekly Monthly Less often, as needed	
15.	Does your company perform Job Hazard Analyses (JHA) for new and existing tasks?	☐ No
	If yes, please provide an example of a recently completed JHA.	
16.	Do you conduct field safety inspection/audits of work in progress? Yes	☐ No
	a) If Yes, How often?	
	b) If yes, who conducts the inspection? Name:	
	Title:	
17.	Do you conduct routine equipment inspections/maintenance on your vehicles including drill rigs, excavators etc.?	☐ No
	a) If Yes, How often?	
	b) If yes, who conducts the inspection? Name:	
	Title:	
18.	Does your company have written post accident investigation procedures? $\ $ Yes If yes, please provide the written procedures.	☐ No
19.	Do you notify all employees of accidents and precautions related to accidents and near misses?	☐ No
	If Yes, How is this notification accomplished?	-
	Are accident reports distributed to management?	☐ No
	If Yes, to whom? How often?	

Contractor Prequalification Statement - Section C Health and Safety Information

Page 4 of 5



KNOXVILLE UTILITIES BOARD CONTRACTOR PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

_	
20.	Employees? Yes No Supervisors? Yes No
	Management?
21.	Attach documentation for checked boxes below:
\boxtimes	EMR documentation from your insurance carrier
\boxtimes	OSHA 300 Logs (Past 3 years) for new Subcontractors, Past year for Renewal Subcontractors
\boxtimes	IIPP Copy (California Companies Only)
\boxtimes	Safety & Health Program (TABLE OF CONTENTS ONLY)
\boxtimes	Example of Recently Completed JHA
\boxtimes	Accident/Incident Investigation Procedure
22.	Certification
	The authorized individual signing below hereby certifies that the above information is accurate.
	By:
	Company Name
	Signature Date:
	Print Name
	Its
Lis	t of items to be submitted with Form, checked items (No. 21) are required to be submitted.

Revised 09.03.09 Contractor Prequalification Statement - Section C Health and Safety Information Page 5 of 5

APPENDIX G—SAMPLE DRAFT BILL

SAMPLE DRAFT BILL

AN ACT concerning

Procurement—Occupational Safety and Health Management Plan

FOR the purpose of requiring that certain contractors have an established safety management plan in order to bid and work on a public work project, requiring that the safety records of certain contractors and subcontractors are evaluated prior to the contractor sub-contractor commencing work on a public work project; requiring that additional safety measures be implemented for certain contractors and subcontractors as a result of the safety record evaluation.

Article—State Finance and Procurement

SUBTITLE 8. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH MANAGEMENT PLAN

17-801.

- (A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.
- (B) "CONSTRUCTION" INCLUDES ALL:
 - (1)BUILDING
 - (2) RECONSTRUCTING
 - (3)IMPROVING;
 - (4)ENLARGING
 - (5) PAINTING AND DECORATING
 - (6)ALTERING;
 - (7) MAINTAINING; AND
 - (8) REPAIRING
- (C) "DEPARTMENT" MEANS THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, LICENSING AND REGULATION.

- (D) "COMMISSIONER" MEANS THE COMMISSIONER OF LABOR AND INDUSTRY.
- (E) "CONTRACTOR SAFETY PLAN" MEANS THE WRITTEN PLAN REQUIRED UNDER § 17-802 OF THIS TITLE.
- (F) "PUBLIC BODY" MEANS:
 - (1) THE STATE;
 - (2) A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION; OR
 - (3) A UNIT OR INSTRUMENTALITY OF THE STATE OR A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION.
- (G) (1) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, "PUBLIC WORK" MEANS A STRUCTURE OR WORK, INCLUDING A BRIDGE, A BUILDING, A DITCH, A ROAD, AN ALLEY, A WATERWORK, OR A SEWAGE DISPOSAL PLANT THAT:
- (i) IS CONSTRUCTED FOR PUBLIC USE OR BENEFIT; OR (ii) IS PAID FOR WHOLLY OR PARTLY BY PUBLIC MONEY.
- (2) "PUBLIC WORK" DOES NOT INCLUDE, UNLESS LET TO CONTRACT, A STRUCTURE OR WORK THE CONSTRUCTION OF WHICH IS PERFORMED BY A PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY UNDER ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OR OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY REGARDLESS OF:
- (i) PUBLIC SUPERVISION OR DIRECTION; OR
- (ii) PAYMENT WHOLLY OR PARTLY FROM PUBLIC MONEY.
- (H) "PUBLIC WORK CONTRACT" MEANS A CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A PUBLIC WORK.
- (I) "SAFETY CALCULATION WORK SHEET" MEANS THE WORKSHEET DEVELOPED UNDER §17-804 OF THIS TITLE.
- (J) "SAFETY RATING SYSTEM" MEANS THE RATING SYSTEM DEVELOPED UNDER §17-804 OF THIS TITLE.

17-802.

- (A) BEFORE ENTERING INTO A PUBLIC WORK CONTRACT, A PUBLIC BODY SHALL REQUIRE ANY CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR PERFORMING WORK ON THE CONTRACT TO HAVE A CONTRACTOR SAFETY PLAN TOGETHER WITH AN ATTESTATION THAT THE PLAN MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBTITLE AND WILL BE IMPLEMENTED ON THE PUBLIC WORK PROJECT.
- (B) THE CONTRACTOR SAFETY PLAN SHALL INCLUDE:

- (1) A STATEMENT OF THE CONTRACTOR'S COMMITMENT TO SAFETY ON THE PROJECT, INCLUDING A PROVISION FOR DESIGNATING A REPRESENTATIVE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAFETY ON THE PROJECT;
- (2)THE CONTRACTOR'S METHODS FOR IDENTIFYING, ASSESSING, AND DOCUMENTING POTENTIAL OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH HAZARDS ON THE PROJECT;
- (3) THE CONTRACTOR'S METHODS FOR PREVENTING AND CONTROLLING OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH HAZARDS ON THE PROJECT;
- (4) THE CONTRACTOR'S METHODS FOR COMMUNICATING INFORMATION TO AND TRAINING EMPLOYEES ON ISSUES RELATED TO OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH HAZARDS ON THE PROJECT;
- (5) THE CONTRACTOR'S METHODS FOR EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION IN IDENTIFYING AND RESOLVING SAFETY AND HEALTH ISSUES ON THE PROJECT; AND
- (6) THE CONTRACTOR'S METHODS FOR CONTINUOUS EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFTEY AND HEALTH HAZARDS ON THE PROJECT AND PROVISION FOR MODIFICATION OF THE SAFETY PLAN BASED ON THE CONTINUOUS EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT
- (C) UPON REQUEST, EACH CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COPY OF THE CONTRACTOR SAFETY PLAN AND ATTESTATION TO THE COMMISSIONER OR THE PUBLIC BODY.

17-803.

THE COMMISSIONER SHALL DEVELOP: (1) A SAFETY CALCULATION WORKSHEET TO EVALUATE THE SAFETY AND HEALTH PERFORMANCE INDICATORS OF CONTRACTORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS PERFORMING WORK ON A PUBLIC WORK CONTRACT VALUED AT \$100,000 OR MORE; AND (2) A RATING SYSTEM TO SPECIFY ADDITIONAL SAFETY MEASURES THAT THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT BASED ON THE SAFETY CALCULATION WORKSHEET SCORE.

17-804.

FOR PUBLIC WORK CONTRACTS VALUED AT \$100,000 OR MORE, ALL CONTRACTORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS SHALL: (1) COMPLETE A SAFETY CALCULATION WORKSHEET AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 17-803 AND (2) IMPLEMENT ANY ADDITIONAL SAFETY MEASURES REQUIRED AS A RESULT OF THE SAFETY CALCULATION WORKSHEET SCORE.

17-805.

- (A) THE COMMISSIONER SHALL ADOPT REGULATIONS TO CARRY OUT THIS SUBTITLE.
- (B) THE COMMISSIONER MAY REQUIRE, BY REGULATION, THAT A CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR SUBJECT TO THIS SUBTITLE MAINTAIN SUCH RECORDS AS ARE RELATED TO THIS SUBTITLE AND ITS OBLIGATIONS THEREUNDER.
- (C) THE COMMISSIONER SHALL INVESTIGATE AS NECESSARY TO DETERMINE COMPLIANCE WITH THIS SUBTITLE AND REGULATIONS HEREUNDER.
- (D) THE COMMISSIONER MAY ENTER A PLACE OF BUSINESS OR WORK SITE TO:
 - (1) OBSERVE THE SAFETY MEASURES IN PLACE ON THE WORK SITE;
 - (2) INTERVIEW INDIVIDUALS ON THE WORK SITE REGARDING SAFETY MEASURES IN PLACE ON THE WORK SITE; AND
 - (3) REVIEW AND COPY RECORDS.

THE FOLLOWING ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS WERE NOT AGREED UPON BY ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS

17-806.

- (A) IF, AFTER INVESTIGATION, THE COMMISSIONER DETERMINES THAT A CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR HAS VIOLATED A PROVISION OF THIS SUBTITLE OR REGULATIONS PROMULGATED THEREUNDER, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL ISSUE A CITATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR.
- (B) EACH CITATION AND PROPOSED ORDER SHALL:
 - (1) DESCRIBE THE NATURE OF THE ALLEGED VIOLATION;
 - (2) CITE THE PROVISION OF THIS SUBTITLE OR REGULATION PROMULGATED THEREUNDER THAT THE EMPLOYER IS ALLEGED TO HAVE VIOLATED;
- (3) STATE THE PENALTY THAT THE COMMISSIONER PROPOSES TO ASSESS.

 (C) FOR AN INITIAL VIOLATION, THE COMMISSIONER MAY ASSESS A PENALTY OF UP TO \$5,000. IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT OF THE PENALTY, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL CONSIDER:
 - (1) THE NATURE OF THE VIOLATION; AND
 - (2) THE CONTRACTOR'S OR SUBCONTRACTOR'S GOOD FAITH EFFORTS AT COMPLIANCE
- (D) FOR A REPEAT VIOLATION BY A CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR, THE COMMISSIONER MAY ASSESS A PENALTY OF UP TO \$10,000 AND, FURTHER, IF THE COMMISSIONER FINDS THAT

THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR ACTED KNOWINGLY OR WITH RECKLESS DISREGARD FOR THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBTITLE OR REGULATIONS PROMULGATED THEREUNDER, THE COMMISSIONER MAY RECOMMEND TO THE PUBLIC BODY THAT THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR BE DEBARRED FROM ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A PUBLIC WORK FOR A PERIOD OF TWO YEARS.

- (E) WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME AFTER ISSUANCE OF A CITATION AND PROPOSED ORDER, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL SEND BY CERTIFIED MAIL TO THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR:
 - (1) A COPY OF THE CITATION AND PROPOSED ORDER; AND
 - (2) NOTICE OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING.

(3)

- (F) WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER A CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR RECEIVES A NOTICE UNDER SUBSECTION (E) OF THIS SECTION, THE EMPLOYER MAY REQUEST A DE NOVO ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING WHICH SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 10, SUBTITLE 2 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE.
- (G) ON RECEIPT OF A REQUEST FOR A HEARING, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL SCHEDULE A HEARING.
- (H) IF A HEARING IS NOT REQUESTED, THE CITATION SHALL BECOME A FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSIONER.. (I) IF A PETITION FOR REVIEW IS NOT FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE ISSUANCE OF THE FINAL ORDER, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL BE ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF THE FINAL ORDER

17-807.

- (A) AN EMPLOYER OR OTHER PERSON MAY NOT DISCHARGE OR OTHERWISE DISCRIMINATE AGAINST AN EMPLOYEE BECAUSE THE EMPLOYEE:
 - (1) FILES A COMPLAINT IN GOOD FAITH UNDER OR RELATED TO THIS TITLE; OR
 - (2) HAS TESTIFIED OR WILL TESTIFY IN AN ACTION UNDER THIS TITLE OR A PROCEEDING UNDER OR RELATED TO THIS TITLE.
- (B) AN EMPLOYEE WHO BELIEVES IN GOOD FAITH THAT AN EMPLOYER OR OTHER PERSON HAS DISCHARGED OR OTHERWISE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST THE EMPLOYEE IN VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION MAY SUBMIT TO THE COMMISSIONER A WRITTEN COMPLAINT THAT ALLEGES THE DISCRIMINATION AND THAT INCLUDES THE SIGNATURE OF THE EMPLOYEE.
- (C) AN EMPLOYEE SHALL FILE A COMPLAINT UNDER THIS SUBSECTION WITHIN 60 DAYS AFTER THE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION OCCURRED.

- (D) ON RECEIPT OF A COMPLAINT UNDER SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION, THE COMMISSIONER MAY INVESTIGATE
- (E) IF, AFTER INVESTIGATION, THE COMMISSIONER DETERMINES THERE IS PROBABLE CAUSE TO FIND THAT AN EMPLOYER OR OTHER PERSON HAS VIOLATED SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL REFER THE MATTER TO THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 10, SUBTITLE 2 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE FOR FINDINGS OF FACT AND A PROPOSED DECISION.

APPENDIX H—SAMPLE DRAFT QUESTIONNAIRE

Maryland Contractor Safety Questionnaire

General Information						
Contractor Info:						
Contractor Name:	Telephone Number:					
Street Address:	Fax Number:					
City:	Website Address:					
Province/State:	Postal Zip Code:					
C	ontractor Management					
President:						
Vice President:						
Highest Ranking Safety Professional:						
History:						
How many years has your organization	How many years has your organization been in business under your present firm's name?					
Insurance Information:						
Workers' Compensation Insurance Carrier:		Effective Date:				
General Liability Insurance Carrier:		Effective Date:				

Performance Safety

Provide the following data for your firm using your record keeping forms from the past three (3) years.

Safety performance Definitions and Guidance

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR) Your workers' compensation insurance rating is available through your insurance company.
- **Hours Worked** Please report the actual scheduled total hours worked and total overtime hours worked.
- **Recordable Incidents** Recordable incidents are those that involve any work-related injury or illness, including: lost time cases, restricted work cases, transferred work activity cases, and fatality cases.
 - I. Lost Time Work Case Could not perform any work.
 - II. Restricted Work Case Could not perform routine functions associated with their permanent job.
 - III. Transferred Work Activity Case Assigned to another job on a temporary or permanent basis.
 - IV. Fat/Cat Case Employee dies from a work related injury or illness.

Safety Metrics	Current Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	Score
Workers' Compensation			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Score

Experience Modification Rate (EMR)			One point for each year under 1.25.
Total Lost Time Incident Rate total # LT incidents x 200,000 total # hours worked Recordable Incident Rate total # R incidents x 200,000 total # hours worked			Score One point for each year under 125% of industry average. Score One point for each year under 125% of
Total Number of reportable fatalities or catastrophic injuries in the last seven years			industry average. Any fatalities/cat warrants immediate Level 3 status.
NAICS Code: Industry specific incident rates can be found on	the bureau of labor statistics website. www.b	ls.gov	
Safety Enforcement Actions			
Total # of Final Order Serious and Repeat OSHA related citations within the last five years. Include citations from all states.			Any Serious or Repeat listed citations warrants level 2 and must be addressed by Subpart in the mitigation plans submitted for level 2 contractors. (i.e., Fall Protection)
Total # of Final Order Willful OSHA citations within last five years. Include info from all states.			Any willful citation warrants immediate Level 3 status.

Safety Management Plan				
	Yes	No	NA	Score One point for each yes answer
Do you have a company safety process to				
prevent injury and illness on the job site?				
Do you have a written safety policy that commits management to the safety process?				
Do you have on-site supervisor(s) that are				
trained to recognize existing and predictable hazards for the work you are performing?				
Do you have a comprehensive Hazard				
Communication Program?				
Do you conduct ongoing job site safety and				
health inspections?				
Safety Reviews/Hazard Analysis- Are Job				

	<u> </u>	1
Safety Analysis (JSA)/Job Hazard		
Analysis(JHA)/Activity Hazard		
Analysis(AHA) conducted for all hazardous		
activities to be performed?		
Pre-task Planning- Is the information found in		
the Job Safety Analysis (JSA)/Job Hazard		
Analysis(JHA)/Activity Hazard		
Analysis(AHA) reviewed before each		
associated task with employee participation		
required?		
Accident/Incident Investigation and Analysis-		
Do you have a written accident/incident		
investigation procedure in which:		
 All accidents/incident (including those 		
of subcontractors, if applicable) are		
investigated to determine their root		
cause, and		
 Corrective action taken by site 		
supervision and management?		
Near Misses- Are reports completed for "near		
miss" incidents that might have caused serious		
injury, property or equipment damage?		
Emergency Response- Do you have a		
comprehensive written emergency response		
plan (i.e., fire, toxic spill, bomb threats, natural		
disasters, crowd and traffic control, and media		
relations) for jobsites; and do all employees		
(including subcontractor employees, if		
applicable) receive project-specific emergency		
response training?		
Substance Abuse Program- Do you have a		
written substance abuse program?		
Employee training- Do you ensure that all		
employees (including subcontractor		
employees, if applicable) are trained in		
accordance with your written training plan?		
Employee training- Do you ensure that all		
employees (including subcontractor		
employees, if applicable) are competent and		
properly classified to perform the work		
required?		
Employee training- Do you ensure that at least		
one employee on-site (including subcontractor		
employees, if applicable) is trained and		
certified in First Aid and Cardio-Pulmonary		
Resuscitation (CPR) while work is being		

performed?			
Employee training- Do you encourage and			
provide the opportunity for employees			
(including subcontractor employees, if			
applicable) to report unsafe work conditions and			
work related injuries?			
Employee training- Do you encourage			
employees (including subcontractor			
employees, if applicable) to stop working			
immediately if an unsafe conditions present a			
serious risk to their safety?			
Safety Documentation: Are pertinent safety			
documents kept on site for review?			
Does Designated Safety Representative hold			
the following certifications: (CSP, ASP,			
CHST, OSHT, STS, STSC ?			
Does your safety process address the			
following?	1	1	T
Fall prevention and protection			
Electrical safety awareness			
Rigging safety (cranes, forklifts, etc)			
Hand and power tool safety			
Personal protective equipment (PPE)			
Confined space entry			
Trench and excavation safety			
Welding and cutting safety			
Confined space entry			
Work zone/traffic safety			
Respiratory protection			
Hazard Communication			
Housekeeping			
Hearing Loss Prevention			

Each NA selection on the safety questionnaire must be explained in an attached document.