Maryland
Department of Economic & Employment Development

-DECISION-

Claimant:
MARY L. HRADSKY

Employer:

Decision No.: 01827-BR-95

Date: June 12, 1995

Appeal No.: 9505524

S.S. No.:

L.O. No.: 15

Appellant: Claimant

Issue: Whether the claimant was able, available and actively seeking work within the meaning of the Maryland Code, Labor and Employment Article, Title 8 Section 903.

- NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT -

You may file an appeal from this decision in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City or one of the Circuit Courts in a county in Maryland. The court rules about how to file the appeal can be found in many public libraries, in the _Maryland Rules of Procedure_, Title 7, Chapter 200.

The period for filing an appeal expires: July 12, 1995

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

Upon review of the record in this case, the Board of Appeals adopts the findings of fact of the Hearing Examiner. However the Board concludes that these facts warrant a different conclusion of law.

Section 8-903 of the Labor and Employment Article requires that a claimant be able to work, available to work and actively seeking work in each week for which benefits are claimed.

The Board concludes that it is contrary to the intent of the unemployment insurance law to deny a claimant benefits, who has accepted a bona fide offer of employment and who, as a condition of that employment, must participate for a number of weeks in a training program, for which no wages are paid.

DECISION

The claimant was able to work, available to work and actively seeking work within the meaning of §8-903 of the Labor and Employment Article. No disqualification from the receipt of benefits shall be imposed for the week beginning February 12, 1995 through the week ending March 4, 1995.

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is reversed.

Donna Watts-Lament, Associate Member

Hazel A. Warnick, Chairperson

kjk
Copies mailed to:

MARY L. HRADSKY
Local Office - #15