



# Maryland

## Department of Economic & Employment Development

*William Donald Schaefer, Governor*  
*J. Randall Evans, Secretary*

*Board of Appeals*  
*1100 North Eutaw Street*  
*Baltimore, Maryland 21201*  
*Telephone: (301) 333-5032*

*Board of Appeals*  
*Thomas W. Keech, Chairman*  
*Hazel A. Warnick, Associate Member*  
*Donna P. Watts, Associate Member*

— DECISION —

	Decision No.:	290-BR-91
	Date:	March 14, 1991
Claimant: Elizabeth Jenkins	Appeal No.:	9016353
	S. S. No.:	
Employer: Manning Broadcasting, Inc.	L. O. No.:	4
	Appellant:	CLAIMANT
Issue:	Whether the claimant is receiving or has received dismissal payments or wages in lieu of notice, within the meaning of Section 6(h) of the law.	

---

— NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT —

YOU MAY FILE AN APPEAL FROM THIS DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF MARYLAND. THE APPEAL MAY BE TAKEN IN PERSON OR THROUGH AN ATTORNEY IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, IF YOU RESIDE IN BALTIMORE CITY, OR THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY IN MARYLAND IN WHICH YOU RESIDE.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING AN APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT ON April 13, 1991

---

— APPEARANCES —

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

Upon review of the record in this case, the Board of Appeals modifies the decision of the Hearing Examiner.

Since the claimant's job was not abolished, the severance pay received is deductible from benefits, under Section 6(h) the law.


The Hearing Examiner's method of calculating the deduction from benefits, however, is incorrect. The Hearing Examiner calculated the number of weeks' salary received, and disqualified the claimant for four weeks' benefits. But the claimant's commissions should also have been counted. Since, according to the testimony, the claimant earned \$17,000 total remuneration for 10 months' work, her total weekly remuneration (salary and commission) averaged \$395 per week. The severance pay received by the claimant, therefore, equals only one week's pay.


Severance pay received is "to be allocated to a number of weeks following separation from employment equal to the number of weeks' pay received," Section 6(h). Since the claimant received one week's pay, she should be disqualified for only the one week immediately following separation from employment. The fact that she received it in two separate checks is irrelevant.

#### DECISION

The claimant received dismissal payments within the meaning of Section 6(h) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law. <sup>She</sup> is disqualified from receiving benefits for the week beginning November 4, 1990 only.

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is modified.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Associate Member

K:HW  
kbm  
COPIES MAILED TO:

CLAIMANT  
EMPLOYER  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - HAGERSTOWN

 **Maryland**  
Department of Economic &  
Employment Development

*William Donald Schaefer, Governor*  
*J. Randall Evans, Secretary*

*William R. Merriman, Chief Hearing Examiner*  
*Louis Wm. Steinwedel, Deputy Hearing Examiner*

*1100 North Eutaw Street*  
*Baltimore, Maryland 21201*

*Telephone: 333-5040*

**— D E C I S I O N —**

Claimant:	Elizabeth Jenkins	Date:	Mailed: 1/16/91
		Appeal No.:	9016353
		S. S. No.:	
Employer:	Manning Broadcasting, Inc.	LO. No.:	004
		Appellant:	Claimant

Issue: Whether the claimant is receiving or has received dismissal payments or wages in lieu of notice, within the meaning of Section 6(h) of the Law.

---

**— NOTICE OF RIGHT OF FURTHER APPEAL —**

ANY INTERESTED PARTY TO THIS DECISION MAY REQUEST A FURTHER APPEAL AND SUCH APPEAL MAY BE FILED IN ANY OFFICE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT, OR WITH THE APPEALS DIVISION, ROOM 515, 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201, EITHER IN PERSON OR BY MAIL

THE PERIOD FOR FILING A FURTHER APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT ON January 31, 1991

---

**— A P P E A R A N C E S —**

FOR THE CLAIMANT:	FOR THE EMPLOYER:
Claimant - Present	Not Represented

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The claimant was employed by the Manning Broadcasting, Inc. in August, 1985. At the time of her separation from employment on October 31, 1990, she earned \$100 per week plus commission as an account executive.

The claimant was discharged from employment on October 31, 1990 due to low sales. At that time, she was given her paycheck for